

Michael R. Lazerwitz (PRO HAC VICE)
 Jeremy J. Calsyn (State Bar No. 205062)
 Lee F. Berger (State Bar No. 222756)
 CLEARY GOTTlieb STEEN & HAMILTON LLP
 One Liberty Plaza
 New York, NY 10006
 (212) 225-2000 (Phone)
 (212) 225-3999 (Facsimile)
 mlazerwitz@cgsh.com

Brad D. Brian (State Bar No. 079001)
 Jerome C. Roth (State Bar No. 159483)
 Jonathan E. Altman (State Bar No. 170607)
 MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP
 355 South Grand Avenue
 Thirty-Fifth Floor
 Los Angeles, CA 90071-1560
 Telephone: (213) 683-9100
 Facsimile: (213) 687-3702
 Brad.Brian@mto.com

Attorneys for Defendants
 LG DISPLAY AMERICA, INC.
 AND LG DISPLAY CO., LTD

[additional parties and counsel listed in signature block]

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

IN RE TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL))	Master File No. 3:07-md-1827 SI
ANTITRUST LITIGATION)	
)	MDL No. 1827
)	
)	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
)	ORDER REGARDING BRIEFING AND
)	HEARING DATES FOR CERTAIN
)	MOTIONS FILED IN THE INDIRECT
)	PURCHASER CLASS ACTION
_____ This Document Relates to:)	
)	
_____ All Indirect-Purchaser Actions)	

WHEREAS, defendants LG Display, Co., Ltd., LG Display America, Inc., AU
 Optronics Corporation, AU Optronics Corporation America, Hannstar Display Corporation,
 Sharp Corporation, Sharp Electronics Corporation, Toshiba Corporation, Toshiba Mobile

1 Display Co., Ltd., Toshiba America Electronic Components, Inc. and Toshiba America
 2 Information Systems, Inc. (collectively “Defendants”) filed a series of motions (collectively,
 3 the “Motions”) in the Indirect Purchaser Class Action, including the following:

- 4 • Sharp’s Motion for Summary Judgment That The Japanese Defendants Did Not
 5 Participate In The Crystal Conspiracy (ECF No. 3436) (filed on August 26,
 6 2011), with a hearing noticed for October 21, 2011 (“Sharp’s Japanese Defendant
 7 Motion”);
- 8 • Defendants’ Joint Motion In Support Of Motion for Partial Summary Judgment
 9 Regarding Production and Capacity (ECF No. 3440) (filed September 2, 2011),
 10 with a hearing noticed for October 14, 2011 (“Capacity and Production
 11 Motion”);
- 12 • Defendants’ Joint Motion For Summary Judgment Against Indirect Purchaser
 13 Plaintiffs In 14 States (ECF No. 3447) (filed September 6, 2011), with a hearing
 14 noticed for October 14, 2011 (“AGC Motion”);
- 15 • Toshiba Entities’ Motion for Summary Judgment (ECF No. 3581) (filed
 16 September 8, 2011), with a hearing noticed for November 4, 2011 (“Toshiba’s
 17 Motion”);
- 18 • Defendants’ Joint Motion for Partial Summary Judgment as to Sole Sourced
 19 Panels (ECF No. 3499) (filed September 8, 2011), with a hearing noticed for
 20 November 4, 2011 (“Sole-Sourcing Motion”);
- 21 • Defendants’ Motion to Alter or Amend the Indirect Purchaser Classes and
 22 Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support Thereof (ECF No. 3487)
 23 (filed September 8, 2011), with a hearing noticed for November 18, 2011
 24 (“Overlap Motion”); and
- 25 • Defendants’ Motion to Decertify Classes or in the Alternative for Summary
 26 Judgment (ECF No. 3492) (filed September 8, 2011), with a hearing noticed for
 27 November 18, 2011 (“Decertification Motion”).

1
2 WHEREAS, the Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs ("Plaintiffs") and Defendants desire to
3 alter the deadlines provided by the Local Rules and by the Stipulation and Order Extending
4 Time and Modifying Pretrial Schedule to file response and reply papers in connection with
5 the Motions so as to allow for full and staggered briefing of the issues;

6 WHEREAS, Plaintiffs and Defendants, having consulted with the Court's Deputy
7 Clerk, desire to present oral argument on the Motions on dates convenient to and efficient
8 for the Court that will permit the Court to fully consider each motion;

9 WHEREAS, the Court is already scheduled to hear a similar motion to the Capacity
10 and Production Motion on November 4, 2011 in the Direct Purchaser Class Action, and it
11 would be efficient to have both motions heard at the same time; and

12 WHEREAS, Plaintiffs would like ten additional pages for their brief in opposition to
13 the AGC Motion;

14 NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by the undersigned counsel on
15 behalf of Plaintiffs and Defendants, that:

16 (1) For the Sole-Sourcing Motion, Plaintiffs will file their opposition brief no
17 later than September 29, 2011, Defendants will file their reply brief no later than October
18 20, 2011, and the hearing will remain on November 4, 2011.

19 (2) For the Capacity and Production Motion, Plaintiffs will file their opposition
20 brief no later than October 3, 2011, Defendants will file their reply brief no later than
21 October 24, 2011, and the hearing will be moved to November 4, 2011, to align with the
22 hearing already scheduled for the similar motion in the Direct Purchaser Class Action.

23 (3) For Toshiba's Motion, Plaintiffs will file their opposition brief no later than
24 October 3, 2011, Toshiba Corporation, Toshiba Mobile Display Co., Ltd., Toshiba America
25 Electronic Components, Inc. and Toshiba America Information Systems, Inc. will file their
26 reply brief no later than October 24, 2011, and the hearing will remain on November 4,
27 2011.
28

(4) For the AGC Motion, Plaintiffs will file their opposition brief no later than September 29, 2011, Defendants will file their reply brief no later than October 21, 2011, and the hearing will be moved to November 18, 2011.

(5) For the Overlap Motion, Plaintiffs will file their opposition brief no later than October 4, 2011, Defendants will file their reply brief no later than October 31, 2011, and the hearing will remain on November 18, 2011.

(6) For the Decertification Motion, Plaintiffs will file their opposition brief no later than October 10, 2011, Defendants will file their reply brief no later than November 7, 2011, and the hearing will remain on November 18, 2011.

(7) For Sharp's Japanese Defendants Motion, Plaintiffs will file their opposition brief no later than October 27, 2011, Sharp Corporation and Sharp Electronics Corporation will file their reply brief no later than November 15, 2011, and the hearing will be moved to November 30, 2011.

(8) For the Court's convenience, the following chart summarizes paragraphs 1-7 above:

Dispositive Motion	Opposition Date	Reply Date	Hearing Date
Sole-Sourcing Motion (ECF No. 3499)	9/29/11	10/20/11	11/4/11
Capacity and Production Motion (ECF No. 3440)	10/3/11	10/24/11	11/4/11
Toshiba's Motion (ECF No. 3581)	10/3/11	10/24/11	11/4/11
AGC Motion (ECF No. 3447)	9/29/11	10/21/11	11/18/11
Overlap Motion (ECF No. 3487)	10/4/11	10/31/11	11/18/11
Decertification Motion (ECF No. 3492)	10/10/11	11/7/11	11/18/11
Sharp's Japanese Defendants Motion (ECF No. 3436)	10/27/11	11/15/11	11/30/11

(9) Plaintiffs' opposition to the AGC Motion may contain up to 35 pages.

DATED: September 19, 2011

By: /s/Michael R. Lazerwitz
Michael R. Lazerwitz (PRO HAC VICE)
Jeremy J. Calsyn (State Bar No. 205062)
Lee F. Berger (State Bar No. 222756)
CLEARY GOTTlieb STEEN & HAMILTON
LLP
One Liberty Plaza
New York, NY 10006
(212) 225-2000 (Phone)
(212) 225-3999 (Facsimile)
mlazerwitz@cgsh.com

Brad D. Brian (State Bar No. 079001)
Jerome C. Roth (State Bar No. 159483)
Jonathan E. Altman (State Bar No. 170607)
MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP
355 South Grand Avenue
Thirty-Fifth Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071-1560
Telephone: (213) 683-9100
Facsimile: (213) 687-3702
Brad.Brian@mto.com

*Attorneys for Defendants LG Display Co., Ltd.
and LG Display America, Inc.*

By: /s/ Christopher A. Nedeau

Christopher A. Nedeau

Carl L. Blumenstein

Allison Dibley

NOSSAMAN LLP

50 California Street, 34th Floor

San Francisco, CA 94111

(415) 398-3600 (Phone)

(415) 398-2438 (Facsimile)

cnedeau@nossaman.com

Attorneys for Defendants AU Optronics

Corporation and AU Optronics Corporation

America

By: /s/ Ramona M. Emerson

Hugh F. Bangasser (*pro hac vice*)

Ramona M. Emerson (*pro hac vice*)

Christopher M. Wyant (*pro hac vice*)

925 Fourth Avenue, Suite 2900

Seattle, WA 98104

(206) 623-7580 (Phone)

(206) 623-7022 (Facsimile)

hugh.bangasser@klgates.com

ramona.emerson@klgates.com

chris.wyant@klgates.com

Jeffery L. Bornstein (SBN 99358)

K&L GATES LLP

Four Embarcadero Center, Suite 1200

San Francisco, CA 94111

(415) 249-1059 (Phone)

(415) 882-8200 (Facsimile)

jeff.bornstein@klgates.com

Attorneys for Defendant HannStar Display

Corporation

1 By: /s/ Jacob R. Sorensen
2 John M. Grenfell (State Bar No. 88500)
3 Jacob R. Sorensen (State Bar No. 209134)
4 Fusae Nara (*pro hac vice*)
5 Andrew D. Lanphere (State Bar No. 191479)
6 PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN
7 LLP
8 50 Fremont Street
9 San Francisco, CA 94105
10 (415) 983-1000 (Phone)
11 (415) 983-1200 (Facsimile)
12 *john.grenfell@pillsburylaw.com*

13 *Attorneys for Defendants Sharp Corporation*
14 *and Sharp Electronics Corporation*

15 By: /s/ John H. Chung
16 John H. Chung (PRO HAC VICE)
17 WHITE & CASE LLP
18 1155 Avenue of the Americas
19 New York, NY 10036
20 (212) 819-8200 (Phone)
21 (212) 354-8113 (Facsimile)
22 *jchung@whitecase.com*

23 Christopher M. Curran (PRO HAC VICE)
24 Kristen J. McAhren (PRO HAC VICE)
25 WHITE & CASE LLP
26 701 Thirteenth Street, NW
27 Washington, DC 20005-3807
28 (202) 626-3600 (Phone)
(202) 639-9355 (Facsimile)
ccurran@washdc.whitecase.com

Attorneys for Defendants Toshiba Corporation,
Toshiba Mobile Display Co., Ltd., Toshiba
America Electronic Components, Inc. and
Toshiba America Information Systems, Inc.

By: /s/ Judith A. Zahid

Francis O. Scarpulla

Craig C. Corbitt

Judith A. Zahid

Patrick B. Clayton

Qianwei Fu

Heather T. Rankie

ZELLE HOFMANN VOELBEL & MASON
LLP

44 Montgomery Street, Suite 3400

San Francisco, CA 94104

Telephone: (415) 693-0700 (415) 693-0700

Facsimile: (415) 693-0770

Joseph M. Alioto

ALIOTO LAW FIRM

225 Bush Street, 16th Floor

San Francisco, CA 94104

Telephone: (415) 434-8900

Facsimile: (415) 434-9200

*Co-Lead Class Counsel for Indirect-Purchaser
Plaintiffs*

Attestation: The filer of this document attests that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from each of the other signatories.

By: Michael R. Lazerwitz

Michael R. Lazerwitz

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Under the parties' stipulation set forth above, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 9/20, 2011

By: 

Hon. Susan Illston

United States District Judge